

**MINUTES**  
**April 28, 2020**  
**Committee of the Whole**  
**City of Batavia**  
**REMOTE MEETING**

Please **NOTE:** These minutes are not a word-for-word transcription of the statements made at the meeting, nor intended to be a comprehensive review of all discussions. They are intended to make an official record of the actions taken by the Committee/City Council, and to include some description of discussion points as understood by the minute-taker. They may not reference some of the individual attendee's comments, nor the complete comments if referenced.

Chair Wolff called the remote meeting to order at 7:00pm.

**1. Roll Call**

**Members Present:** Chair Wolff; Ald. Miller, Russotto, Beck, Knopp, Chanzit, Baerren, O'Brien, Callahan, Meitzler, Malay, Uher, Cerone and McFadden

**Members Absent:**

**Also Present:** Mayor Schielke; Chief Deicke, Batavia Fire Department; Laura Newman, City Administrator; Scott Buening, Director of Community Development; Gary Holm, Director of Public Works; Wendy Bednarek, Director of Human Resources; Peggy Colby, Director of Finance, Howard Chason, Director of Information Systems; Anthony Isom, Assistant to the City Administrator; and Jennifer Austin-Smith, Recording Secretary

**2. Reminder: Please speak into the microphone for BATV recording**

**3. Approve Minutes for March 3, 2020 and March 10, 2020**

**Motion:** To approve the March 3, 2020 and March 10, 2020 minutes as presented

**Maker:** Chanzit

**Second:** Uher

**Voice Vote:** 14 Ayes, 0 Nays, 0 Absent  
All in favor. Motion carried.

**4. Items to be Removed/Added/Changed**

Chanzit removed item fourteen from the agenda because the petitioner is reconsidering. Items #8-11 were discussed prior to agenda item #6 to address items that have meeting guests present. Chanzit added an Executive Session regarding personnel to the meeting with no action needed during Executive Session. There was no voiced opposition to the agenda changes.

Knopp welcomed Cub Scout Troop 6 to the meeting who are joining remotely working on their Citizens in the Community merit badge.

**5. Matters From the Public (For Items NOT on the Agenda)**

There were no matters from the public.

## **6. Discussion: Options for Redevelopment Plan for TIF #5 (LN 4/24/20) (CDC)**

Callahan reported that the School District voted against extending the TIF so now we are considering the option of de-TIF TIF 5 and re-TIF to TIF 6. Dave Patzelt, Shodeen, stated at the last Council meetings there were discussions and a request to put retail back into the structure even if there would be a loss to some of the parking spaces. Patzelt stated that through working with the architect back and forth we were able to put retail back onto Wilson Street and picked up some second floor office space on River Street. He walked the Committee through the revisions to the plan on a PowerPoint presentation shown via GoToWebinar for the remote attendees to view. Patzelt overviewed that there is a loss of four residential units that were fronting Wilson Street. The retail has a loss of 600 square feet on River Street to make room for the second floor office space that is also on River Street. We have picked up 9,100 square feet of retail so our net add is 8,455 of retail space. Office space on the second floor facing River Street amounts to 2,370 square feet with a pool located directly above. Patzelt discussed the concrete ceilings of the office space area that were designed to withstand the weight of the pool above. Our parking has a loss of 32 parking spaces. The 2018 plan and the current version were compared on a slide for the Committee to view. Patzelt discussed access points, including a new access point directly out onto River Street. The lower garage plan was reviewed.

Chair Wolff asked if this project would fit under a new TIF. Newman stated that it would. Newman explained a big issue we had in the past was with the delays that arose and the time that was lost. Callahan stated a de-TIF and re-TIF would theoretically gain the additional three years. Callahan asked how long would the de-TIF and re-TIF process take. Aiston answered that the hope is to have this done late this fall. We are seeking the direction of Council through the COW to agree that the staff undertake the initiative, which is to expire TIF 5 and create a new TIF 6, which would include all of TIF 5 and some select parcels surrounding TIF 5. An eligibility study is required to be done to prove that you meet certain criteria to establish a TIF. That study would take about four to six weeks. After that study is completed we would know what areas are eligible under the statute to be declared a TIF. Patzelt stated that construction plans are in the cost range of \$500-600,000 and he does not feel comfortable spending that if we don't know if the TIF is approved. The timing to get those plans completed is between 90-120 days. The sooner we could get through the de-TIF and re-TIF the sooner we could get the plans drawn and get into construction.

Newman stated that she would look into whether creating TIF 6 would still allow the City to recover our expenses in property acquisition and the utility work that we have already done. Since we have not begun the environmental remediation creating this slightly larger TIF increment area would offer us the opportunity to at least recoup the cost of the environmental remediation, if not the cost we have already incurred. Aiston stated that staff would like to get legal opinion on whether costs that have been incurred prior to our initiating a new TIF are going to be eligible under TIF 6. Any cost that has not been incurred to date once the TIF is created would be eligible. Callahan stated that recovery of the price for the First Baptist Church building is news to him. He explained that he thought that was written off years ago and he has not been corrected.

Newman stated that it would make most sense to extend Chris Aiston's contract in order to complete this project. She explained that it would not make sense to start from scratch and lose all of the accrued knowledge at this point of time.

Patzelt shared that the lender, pre-Covid19, was still very interested in this project. He has discussed some of these revisions with them and they remain very interested in this project. One of his concerns still is, although it is great to see the retail back on Wilson Street, the ultimate question is will we be able to rent it. That was a concern early on and now with COVID-19 and what the impact will be on small businesses this type of space is still a risk. There will have to be incentives to come into these downtown areas. Offering a sales tax rebate to them to try to help them get started is still a question that needs to be out there. The office space is great. It looks great but he is not certain if he could rent that space. The question is whether or not we could get tenants into those spaces. He has no problem thinking that we would be able to rent the residential component. The retail and office space is still a concern and we do not have tenants lined up for retail or office space. O'Brien asked when a shovel into the ground would be expected. Patzelt stated that the way this project has been going he can not find a lender with a three million dollar shortfall. The increment of a million dollars a year for the three years we are short three million dollars. With a three million dollar loss it is not going to happen. The only way to get the project to happen is to get that time back. Patzelt stated that he still does not want to give up on starting this project in 2020.

Cerone stated it would be in our best interest as a Committee to discuss the changes to the plan, such as the drop of 32 parking spaces. Also, if the developer is concerned about renting out the retail space, we have retail space already open, is adding retail the right move. It is worth discussing more in depth and getting everyone's opinion. Cerone stated that he likes the look of this plan and appreciates the revisions but he has not heard everyone's thoughts on this project on whether or not this is the direction we want to go.

O'Brien stated that Patzelt did tell us that we would have to loose something to gain retail. There was a big pushback a year ago about losing retail. O'Brien stated that he was always of the opinion that retail will follow if we lost the retail in this building. That is what he thought we should have done originally. We had Shodeen to redesign a couple of times and we can't keep asking him to do revisions. We really do need to make a final decision.

Beck stated that she would like more time to consider this. She is not concerned about the loss of 32 parking spots. She stated it is hard to compare the vacant retail space in our downtown to what is being proposed here. She is not concerned with difficulty filling these spots. She has been a fan of seeing this go through because it is the right tone to set for redevelopment in downtown Batavia. Beck stated that she believes that we are asking a lot of a single block if we are trying to put in enough parking to park the whole area, which has always been one of her hold ups about the TIF money going towards this big parking garage. She does not think downtown Batavia has a parking problem it has a parking perception problem. The loss of the spots would not be missed as much as we think. Cerone stated that the benefit of this project is that the City is getting a public lot. If there are only a few parking spaces available to the public after the development parks itself we are not getting the benefit. Beck stated that she agrees, and

part of this issue she has this project then becomes a lot of money to pay for a private parking garage.

Wolff stated we want to bring people downtown and if we want to boost downtown by putting people down there we need to do this. We need to de-TIF and re-TIF to give the City the opportunity to create as much increment as we can. He does not think a smaller project could ever pay the City back. Newman added that finding a replacement project would take more time out of the TIF.

Chanzit stated that he has been on board with this project through its many iterations. He is happy and ecstatic that we are moving forward.

McFadden stated we had delays with the environmental issues. This is a project that some version of the scope and the scale is what we wanted in the downtown and what we were hoping for in the downtown. He has been very impressed with Dave Patzelt and how he has come back with reiterations for us. For right now, our decision to de-TIF and re-TIF is absolutely the right thing to do.

Meitzler stated that he would like to consider this longer. The retail is awesome. The office space makes it more interesting to him. He has some concerns with losing parking spaces but it is a trade off. He definitely wants to see the retail space back into the project. He is concerned that we are living in uncharted territory with COVID-19. We don't realize the whole effect of this to our economy. He is concerned about the bank ability to be able to finance any jumbo package. Not knowing what the true cost of this project he is wary moving forward with this effort to start this back up again. Newman commented that even if we do not go forward with this project, the City is in a better position to have a project with a re-TIF. She asked that the Committee keep that in mind.

Baerren stated that she likes what has been brought to the table regarding the addition of the retail and the addition of the office space. The loss of 32 parking spots does not concern her that much. She does have concerns with the state of the economy and how that could potentially affect this project. She is in support of the de-TIF and re-TIF.

Knopp asked if there is an opportunity to go through the de-TIF and re-TIF process a second time if there are more delays. Aiston stated that it is a bigger mystery what the State legislature might do with TIF. Aiston stated that we have learned a lot about this site and the chances of having another delay are significantly diminished. Aiston stated that he does not know of any limit to de-TIF and re-TIF you just have to prove eligibility. Knopp asked if we do re-TIF this does that give us the opportunity to go out with an RFP to see if there is another opportunity for this area. Newman stated that we have this project right now but you have to look at what the consequences would be under the terms of the Redevelopment Agreement.

Miller stated that losing the parking spaces does not concern him. He asked if we were to de-TIF and re-TIF are we able to recoup City costs? With the pandemic issues what would be the loss to the City. He loves this project. He would like to see it go forward but there are a lot of things that we have to consider, such as leaving the City with a huge fiscal loss. He would like to look more

into the data. He knows we talked about having staff look at if we are able to recoup these costs if we de-TIF and re-TIF. He does not want the City to be left with a lot of bills at the end of this process. Newman stated that de-TIF and re-TIF gives you the greatest possibility of being able to recoup the costs. Newman stated that the only question is whether we would be able to recoup costs that we hit when it was TIF 5. If we just leave it TIF 5, the TIF act would definitely allow us to pay ourselves back but we would only be able to pay ourselves if there were increment left after providing whatever it said that was necessary to meet a but for gap that existed in a project that was brought to us. Having 23 years and this project, we could see what the ultimate increment is from \$700,000 to over a million dollars at the end of the 23 years versus unknown project b that will have maybe 17 years of increment. Doing the de-TIF and re-TIF, even if we are only able to recoup the cost incurred during TIF 6 (e.g. environmental remediation), it would be more than what the City would have been able to recoup on all of those other expenses in the reduced time period.

Uher stated that when the plan came to him without retail is when he said no. If nothing had changed years ago it would have been a yes vote. He would be happy going forward with this. We had talked to Dave Patzelt about a smaller project and he is not sure if that is something that would be brought forward. We would have less parking but we would need less parking with a smaller project. He would support this because it has the retail back and it was what he expected to have built by now.

Russotto commented that he does not think we would be able to get any project to work in TIF 5 at this point with the timeframe we have left. He is not concerned about the parking spots. He thinks the new retail is great and brings a new form of retail to our downtown, something we do not currently have. If the builder was uncomfortable with that much retail or residential they would not go forward with the project. This is the time to entertain a project like this. He has no problem with de-TIF and re-TIF. This development has been well advertised. If there were someone else that was interested they would have made their way to us already.

Malay stated that the retail is back, we are considering 23 years versus 35 years, and he does not see parking as the only benefit that comes with this project. Parking is an important piece but bringing people to our downtown have immense benefits. The people would support our local businesses. It is project like this that would help us get to a better economy. It would create construction jobs and many other jobs. He likes the addition of the office space and the look of the retail. It will look fantastic across from the eight boardwalk shops. Malay shared his support for directing staff to move forward with the re-TIF and de-TIF.

Newman stated that part of this will be another amendment to the redevelopment agreement and that redevelopment agreement would contain what exactly what has been agreed upon to be built. Tonight what she is hearing is what we would like to see built. Tonight we should just give the direction to staff to do the de-TIF and re-TIF versus option three which is just leave TIF 5 in place. Patzelt added that in order to do any plan we would need a de-TIF and a re-TIF. We could have another meeting to discuss the plans in further detail but ultimately we don't need a plan if we don't do a re-TIF. Aiston stated that by approving the de-TIF and re-TIF staff could then begin the eligibility study. He could get the vast majority of the study done before his existing contract expires. He does expect that the majority of what is being proposed as TIF 6 would be

eligible. Chair Wolff asked for the answers about what would be reimbursable if we move on to a new TIF.

Callahan asked for a straw poll in favor of the de-TIF of TIF 5 and re-TIF to create TIF 6. The straw poll was given and the result was unanimous with 14 ayes and 0 nays.

**7. Discussion: 2020 Budget Austerity measures to Address Expected Revenue Shortfalls Caused by the COVID-19 Pandemic (LN 4/22/20) (GS)**

Newman shared a PowerPoint presentation with the Committee regarding the 2020 Budget Austerity measures. She explained that the Department Heads had shared expenses that could be deferred, at least for now, until we get a clearer picture on how the COVID-19 crisis is going to affect our City finances. We need to have a strict focus on preserving our cash while trying to provide the services our City needs during a crisis such as this.

The Committee discussed the allocation of funds, possible re-opening of the State, bike share program, what the City has saved already, rebalancing our plans for the 2021 budget, sales tax projections. Colby noted that it would take six to nine months to see the full impact on sales tax.

The consensus of the Committee was in favor of the budget austerity measures for sixty-days and then revisit it in sixty days to see where the City stands.

Newman asked the Committee if they would consider a small business relief program at this time. Callahan stated that on the ‘Strong Towns’ call that topic was discussed. The tricky part is who do you pick. You are picking winners and losers and you don’t know if that fiscal contribution would really help them or is it just some money. The theme was to be very careful and have a well thought out plan when choosing to do this type of program. Chair Wolff stated that this would be something he would like more thought on. He mentioned that he does not know how the City would determine need. Mayor Schielke stated that lessons learned in other towns is money was given to people claiming to be business owners in town and found out that person was not a business person in town. The word he is getting is you have to be very careful with this type of program. Mayor Schielke stated that another community informed him of a way to help everyone in the community is by discounting the water bill by 20%. Baerren stated that she was on the same call as Callahan today and to implement this type of program the City would have to be very careful. She would be very cautious considering going down this type of path. The consensus of the Committee was not to consider this type of program at this time.

**8. Ordinance 20-31: Granting a Conditional Use Permit for a Video Gaming Establishment in the DMU Downtown Mixed Use District. RP3 Group LLC Dba Riverside Pizza and Pub, Applicant. 142 West Wilson Street (DMR 4/17/20) CDC**

Callahan overviewed the memo. Rackow noted that the Plan Commission felt this is an appropriate use and recommended approval with the conditions listed in the ordinance. Uher commented that he feels that video gaming is an inappropriate use in our town. Malay agreed and added that he did not vote in favor for Funway and he will not vote for this one. Meitzler stated that he would not be supporting this. Knopp stated that he does not think video gaming is appropriate. Beck announced that she would be abstaining.

**Motion:** To recommend to Council approval of Ordinance 20-31: Granting a Conditional Use Permit for a Video Gaming Establishment in the DMU Downtown Mixed Use District. RP3 Group LLC Dba Riverside Pizza & Pub, Applicant. 142 West Wilson Street

**Maker:** Callahan

**Second:** O'Brien

**Roll Call Vote:** **Aye:** Callahan, Cerone, McFadden, Russotto, Chanzit, Baerren, Wolff, O'Brien  
**Nay:** Miller, Knopp, Meitzler, Malay, Uher  
8-5 Vote, 1 Abstained, 0 Absent, Motion carried.

Alderman Beck was the abstention vote.

**9. Ordinance 20-32: Granting a Conditional Use Permit for a Non-Hazardous Material Recycling Facility in the GI General Industrial District, Pat Sliger, A&P Grease Trappers, Applicant. 1740 Hubbard Avenue (JLS 4/21/20) CDC**

Strassman summarized the memo. Uher asked about any potential odors. Dave Riando, Civil Engineer, shared that all recycling will be done inside the new addition. If there were any odors it would stay inside the building. Chair Wolff asked if the product would be reused or sold as biodiesel. Pat Sliger, applicant, was not on the call to answer the question regarding the product at the facility. O'Brien stated that grease has a strong smell and if there is an odor escaping the building there should be a plan to remediate it. We don't want a foul smell for the neighbors. Riando responded that he is the site engineer and he cannot answer that question for the applicant. Callahan asked Strassman to ask the applicant about the odor and biodiesel questions so the Council could have those answers. Strassman stated that he would contact the applicant regarding those questions.

**Motion:** To recommend to Council approval of Ordinance 20-32: Granting a Conditional Use Permit for a Non-Hazardous Material Recycling Facility in the GI General Industrial District. Pat Sliger, A &P Grease Trappers, Applicant. 1740 Hubbard Avenue

**Maker:** Callahan

**Second:** Knopp

**Voice Vote:** 14 Ayes, 0 Nays, 0 Absent  
All in favor. Motion carried.

**10. Resolution 20-067-R: Accepting Plat of Easement and Right-of-Way Dedication. Pat Sliger, A&P Grease Trappers, Applicant. 1740 Hubbard Avenue (JLS 4/21/20) CDC**

**Motion:** To recommend to Council approval of Resolution 20-067-R: Accepting Plat of Easement and Right-of-Way Dedication. Pat Sliger, A&P Grease Trappers, Applicant. 1740 Hubbard Avenue

**Maker:** Callahan

**Second:** Knopp

**Voice Vote:** 14 Ayes, 0 Nays, 0 Absent  
All in favor. Motion carried.

CONSENT AGENDA

**11. Ordinance 20-33: Grant of Variance for Side Setback for a Detached Garage in the R4 Multi-Family Medium Density District. Dan Satterfield, Applicant, 227 South Water Street (JLS 4/21/20) CDC**

Strassman overviewed the memo. The Zoning Board of Appeals unanimously recommended approval of a variance for the garage as proposed to have a five-foot side setback. Callahan stated that this looks fantastic and he feels that this would be a very sharp looking garage and he will support this. Uher commented that what the applicant is trying to do is definitely in character with that area. Beck stated that she has no concerns.

**Motion:** To recommend to Council approval of Ordinance 20-33: Grant of Variance for Side Setback for a Detached Garage in the R4 Multi-Family Medium Density District. Dan Satterfield, Applicant, 227 South Water Street

**Maker:** Uher

**Second:** Beck

**Voice Vote:** 14 Ayes, 0 Nays, 0 Absent  
All in favor. Motion carried.  
CONSENT AGENDA

**12. Resolution 20-068-R: Authorizing Execution of the Preliminary Engineering Services Agreement with TranSystems for the Prairie Street and Wilson Street Intersection Improvements (TG 4/22/10) CS**

Holm overviewed the memo.

**Motion:** To recommend to Council approval of Resolution 20-068-R: Authorizing Execution of the Preliminary Engineering Services Agreement with TranSystems for the Prairie Street and Wilson Street Intersection Improvements

**Maker:** Wolff

**Second:** Russotto

**Voice Vote:** 14 Ayes, 0 Nays, 0 Absent  
All in favor. Motion carried.

**13. Resolution 20-069-R: Authorizing Execution of the Contract with Pirtano Construction for the 2020 Ward 1 Storm Sewer Reconstruction (TG 4/22/20) CS**

Holm overviewed the memo.

**Motion:** To recommend to Council approval of Resolution 20-069-R: Authorizing Execution of the Contract with Pirtano Construction for the 2020 Ward 1 Storm Sewer Reconstruction

**Maker:** Wolff

**Second:** O'Brien

**Voice Vote:** 14 Ayes, 0 Nays, 0 Absent  
All in favor. Motion carried.

**14. Resolution 20-070-R: License Agreement for use of Land (Hotdog Cart) (LN 4/24/20)  
CS**

This item was removed from the agenda.

**15. Ordinance 20-34: Amending Wage and Salary Ordinance (GH 4/22/20) GS**

Holm overviewed the memo. Staff is recommending an amendment of the wage and salary ordinance to restore the Manager of Electric Operations position back to a salary grade 21. The position was at that level when the position was created in 2012. The grade was lowered to seek outside candidates and the search was unsuccessful. Staff would like this position restored to a salary grade 21 to be consistent with other supervisors in the department.

Knopp expressed concern with hiring at this time and increasing the salary grade upward. Newman stated that this is a very critical position for the City to fill. It is very difficult for the organization to not have this position filled. Meitzler agreed with Knopp. He continued to entertain in this environment to bump up a salary grade is not the right approach. Right now we have to look at how we can save money and cut cost. Callahan stated that he is opposed to bumping this up to the next grade for the same reason. Newman reported that we have an internal candidate with exceptional characteristics that would match the skill level of the incumbent that left the position at a 21. Chanzit asked how much money is the divide here for some perspective. Chanzit continued that he sees both sides of the position but there is never a good time to undercut someone and not pay them what they are worth. Newman answered that the divide between 20 and 21 is \$4,000.

O'Brien made the motion to approve Ordinance 20-34 and Callahan made a motion to amend. The amended motion was voted on first.

**Motion:** To recommend to Council approval of Ordinance 20-34 amending the wage and salary ordinance for non- collectively bargained employees to modify the salary grade for the Manager of Electric Operations position to salary grade #21 with the gap of the \$4,000 made up from the one month meeting salary for aldermen.

**Maker:** Callahan

**Second:** Knopp

**Roll Call Vote:** **Aye:** Callahan, Meitzler, Uher, Knopp  
**Nay:** Malay, Cerone, McFadden, Miller, Russotto, Beck, Chanzit, Baeren, Wolff, O'Brien  
4-10 Vote, 0 Absent, Motion failed.

**Motion:** To recommend to Council approval of Ordinance 20-34 amending the wage and salary ordinance for non- collectively bargained employees to modify the salary grade for the Manager of Electric Operations position to salary grade #21

**Maker:** O'Brien

**Second:** Malay

**Roll Call Vote:** **Aye:** O'Brien, Malay, Cerone, McFadden, Miller, Russotto, Beck, Chanzit, Baeren, Wolff  
**Nay:** Callahan, Meitzler, Uher, Knopp  
10-4 Vote, 0 Absent, Motion carried.

**16. Resolution 20-062-R: Authorizing Execution of a Professional Services Agreement for Performing a Three-Year Water Works System Leak Detection Program with ME Simpson Company (JPB 4/21/20) PU**

O'Brien overviewed the memo.

**Motion:** To recommend to Council approval of Resolution 20-062-R: Authorizing Execution of a Professional Services Agreement for Performing a Three-Year Water Works System Leak Detection Program with ME Simpson Company

**Maker:** Wolff

**Second:** Russotto

**Voice Vote:** 13 Ayes, 1 Nays, 0 Absent  
Motion carried.

Alderman Knopp was the nay vote.

**17. Resolution 20-063-R: Authorizing Execution of a Professional Services Agreement for Performing a Three-Year Water Works System Valve Exercising and Condition Assessment Program with Pure Technologies US (JPB 4/21/20) PU**

O'Brien overviewed the memo.

**Motion:** To recommend to Council approval of Resolution 20-063-R: Authorizing Execution of a Professional Services Agreement for Performing a Three-Year Water Works System Valve Exercising and Condition Assessment Program with Pure Technologies US

**Maker:** Malay

**Second:** Wolff

**Voice Vote:** 14 Ayes, 0 Nays, 0 Absent  
All in favor. Motion carried.  
CONSENT AGENDA

**18. Project Status**

Newman reported on the following:

- Building permit activity is increasing with the warmer weather.
- There have been several zoning amendment inquiries and we anticipate these are going to become formal petitions to rezone various properties for alternative uses.
- The work is nearly complete for the 2019 Area 3 separation project. Weather permitting the asphalt work should be completed by May 2<sup>nd</sup>. Landscape remediation will be completed in that area.
- The underground storm and sanitary sewer work is going on along Main Street.
- The annual sidewalk and curb repair and replacement program is fifty percent complete.
- Surveys have been sent to businesses and residents along the Mahoney Creek tributary. We would like to solicit thoughts and ideas regarding the future of the creek and tributary.

- The Fire Department is delaying for sixty days the purchase of the engine. They are preparing to purchase new air packs under the FEMA grant and they are programming and preparing the stark-op radios.
- The Chamber of Commerce has created a program for community donations of face masks.

### **19. Other**

The Committee of the Whole agreed to return to weekly meetings on an as-needed basis.

### **20. Executive Session: Personnel**

**Motion:** To adjourn the COW meeting and enter into Executive Session for collective bargaining

**Maker:** O'Brien

**Second:** Knopp

**Voice Vote:** 14 Ayes, 0 Nays, 0 Absent  
All in favor. Motion carried.

Alderman Chanzit announced that he would not be able to attend the Executive Session.

### **21. Adjournment**

There being no other business to discuss, Chair Wolff asked for a motion to adjourn the meeting and enter into remote Executive Session at 10:48pm; Made by O'Brien; Seconded by Baerren. Motion carried.